REMARKS

Regarding the specification and drawings, particularly with respect to the use of

numeral 1 to designate both the laser and the base, and numeral 8 to designate both the

front surface and actuation button, substitute paragraphs in the specification and a

replacement sheet for Figure 7 have been presented to clarify that numeral 1 refers to the

laser generally, numeral 2 to the base, numeral 8 to the surface and numeral 11 to the

actuation button.

Regarding the rejection of claim 9 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, that

claims has been amended to delete the incomplete sentence.

Regarding the rejection of the claims based on Levine, Wheeler and the

combination thereof, neither of those references teach or suggest a base having a surface

facing the working surface with a recess and an adhesive layer at least partially situated

within the recess in the base surface. All of the claims remaining in the case have been

amended to include that feature.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert L. Epstern, Keg. No. 26451

EPSTEIN DRANGEL

BAZERMAN & JAMES, LLP

Attorneys for Applicant

60 East 42nd Street, Suite 820

New York, New York 10165

Tel. No.: (212) 292-5390

Fax. No.: (212) 292-5391

Enc. (Replacement Sheet)

Z:\Data\Idea Village\1111-214\PTO Papers\Amendment 1.doc

7